LAWYERS PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY BOARD

SUITE 300 372 ST. PETER STREET ST. PAUL, MINNESOTA 55102

GERALD E. MAGNUSON CHAIRMAN

CHALD E. MAGNUSON
CHAIRMAN

MARY H. DAVIES
KELTON GAGE
JEANNE GIVENS
ARTHUR N. GOODMAN
JAMES T. HALE
ROBERT F. HENSON
JARED HOW
CHARLES T. HVASS
KENNETH F. KIRWIN
HERBERT P. LEFLER
GREER E. LOCKHART
WILLIAM I. NOVAK
WILLIAM I. NOVAK
WILLIAM T. O'CONNOR
RICHARD L. PEMBERTO I
CLARENCE W. PETERSO V
STEPHEN C. RATHKE
ALAN K. RUVELSON
RONALD P. SMITH
WILLIAM P. VAN EVERA
SHERMAN WINTHROP
MARTHA ZACHARY

MICHAEL J. HOOVER ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

BRUCE E. MARTIN ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

RICHARD C. BAKER STAFF ATTORNEY 612 - 296-3952

June 10, 1980

M1. John McCarthy Clerk of Supreme Court State Capitol St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

> Amendments to Minnesota Rules on Lawyers Professional Responsibility File No. 46994

Dear Mr. McCarthy:

Erclosed herewith for filing is Petition of the Lawyers Professional Responsibility Board, requesting the Court to amend Rules 11, 15, and 18 of the Rules on Lawyers Professional Responsibility, and adding a new Rule 25 thereto.

Nine additional copies of the Petition are enclosed for distribution to all members of the Court.

Very truly yours,

Michael J. Hoover Administrative Director

MJH:ajs Enclosures

cc: Mr. Gerald E. Magnuson

STATE OF MINNESOTA IN SUPREME COURT FILE NO. 46994

Amendments to Minnesota Rules on
Lawyers Professional Responsibility

PETITION

TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, AND TO ROBERT J. SHERAN, CHIEF JUSTICE:

The Lawyers Professional Responsibility Board hereby petitions the Court:

To adopt, effective immediately, amendments to the Minnesota Rules on Lawyers Professional Responsibility, as follows:

1. Amending Rule 11 of said Rules to read as follows: "RULE 11. RESIGNATION

This Court may at any time, with or without a hearing and with any conditions it may deem appropriate, grant or deny a lawyer's tedphest petition to resign from the bar. A lawyer's petition to resign from the bar shall be served upon the Director. The original petition with proof of service and one copy shall be filed with this Court. If the Director does not object to the petition, he shall promptly advise the Court. If he objects, he shall also advise the Court, but then submit the matter to a Panel, which shall conduct a hearing and make a recommendation to the Court. The recommendation shall be served upon the petitioner and filed with the Court."

2. Amending Rule 15, Rules on Lawyers Professional Responsibility, by adding the following subsection to said Rule:

"RULE 15. DISPOSITION: PROTECTION OF CLIENTS

(c) Examination on professional responsibility.

Every lawyer placed on a probationary status or reprimanded by this Court, pursuant to the foregoing provisions of Rule 15, shall be in a restricted status, subject to the limitations upon his activities imposed on lawyers in that status under the rules for Continuing Legal Education of members of the bar unless within

six (6) months after his placement on a probationary status or the issuance of a reprimand by this Court, he shall have successfully completed such written examination as may be required of applicants for admission to the practice of law by the State Board of Law Examiners on the subject of professional responsibility."

3. Amending Rule 18, by adding the following subsection (e) to said Rule:

"RULE 18. REINSTATEMENT

(e) General requirements for reinstatement.

Unless such examination is specifically waived by this Court, no lawyer ordered reinstated to the practice of law after having been disbarred by this Court shall be effectively reinstated until he shall have successfully completed such written examinations as may be required of applicants for admission to the practice of law by the State Board of Law Examiners, and no lawyer ordered reinstated to the practice of law after having been suspended by this Court shall be effectively reinstated until he shall have successfully completed such written examination as may be required for admission to the practice of law by the State Board of Law Examiners on the subject of professional responsibility. Unless specifically waived by this Court, no lawyer shall be reinstated to the practice of law following his suspension or disbarment by this Court until he shall have satisfied the requirements imposed under the rules for Continuing Legal Education on members of the bar as a condition to a change from a restricted to an active status."

4. By adding a new Rule 25, to the Rules on Lawyers Professional Responsibility, as follows:

"RULE 25. REQUIRED COOPERATION

(a) Lawyer's duty.

It shall be the duty of any lawyer who is the subject of an investigation or proceeding under these Rules to cooperate with the District Committee, the Director or his staff, the Board, or a Panel, by complying with reasonable requests, including requests to:

- (1) Furnish designated papers, documents or tangible objects;
- (2) Furnish in writing a full and complete explanation covering the matter under consideration;

- (3) Appear for conferences and hearings at the times and places designated.
- (b) Grounds of discipline.

Violation of this rule is unprofessional conduct and shall constitute a ground for discipline."

Respectfully submitted,

LAWYERS PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY BOARD

Gerald E. Magnuson, Chairman

LAWYERS PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY BOARD SUITE 300

372 ST. PETER STREET ST. PAUL, MINNESOTA 55102

GERALD E. MAGNUSON CHAIRMAN

CHAILD E. MAGNUSON
CHAIRMAN
MARY H. DAVIES
KELTON GAGE
JEANNE GIVENS
ARTHUR N. GOODMAN
JAMES T. HALE
ROBERT F. HENSON
JARED HOW
CHARLES T. HVASS
KENNETH F. KIRWIN
HERBERT P. LEFLER
GREER E. LOCKHART
WILLIAM I. NOVAK
WILLIAM I. NOVAK
WILLIAM T. O'CONNOR
RICHARD L. PEMBERTO!
CLARENCE W. HETERSO!
STEPHEN C. RATHKE
ALAN K. RUYELSON
RONALD P. SMITH
WILLIAM P. VAN EVERA
SHERMAN WINTHROP
MARTHÁ ZACHARY

MICHAEL J. HOOVER ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

BRUCE E. MARTIN ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATIVE DIRECTOR

RICHARD C. BAKER STAFF ATTORNEY

612 - 296-3952

February 18, 1981

Honorable Robert J. Sheran Chief Justice Minnescta Supreme Court State (apitol Building St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

> June 3, 1980 Petition and December 27, 1980 Petition to Change the Rules on Lawyers

Professional Responsibility.

Dear Judge Sheran:

This letter will discuss the changes sought in the Rules on Lawyers Professional Responsibility by the Petitions of the Lawyers Professional Responsibility Board dated June 3, 1980 and December 27, 1980.

June 3, 1980 Petition

The June 3, 1980 Petition seeks changes in existing Rules 11, 15 and 18 and the addition of a new Rule 25.

The proposed amendment to Rule 11 would require notice to the Director of a lawyer's petition to resign. If the Director does not object to the petition, he would promptly advise the Court. If he objects, the matter would be submitted to a Panel which would conduct a hearing and make a recommendation to the Court. The purpose of the proposed amendment is to prevent lawyers under investigation from attempting to deprive us of disciplinary jurisdiction by requesting to resign and obtaining the Court's permission without notice to us, a practice which would apparently be permitted under the current Rule 11.

The proposed amendment to Rule 15 would add a new subsection (c) which would require every lawyer placed on probation or reprimanded by the Court to pass the written examination in professional responsibility within six months after the disciplinary order. Failure to complete the professional responsibility examination would result in the lawyer's

placement on restricted status. The Board felt very strongly that an attorney whose conduct has resulted in public discipline should, as a minimum, pass the professional responsibility section of the bar examination to demonstrate that he or she has a working knowledge of ethics which will presumably guide him or her in the future.

Rule 13 would be amended by adding a new subsection (e) to provid: that prior to reinstatement a disbarred lawyer must succes; fully complete the entire bar examination and a suspended lawyer must successfully complete the written professional responsibility examination prior to reinstatement. Suspended and disbarred lawyers would also be required to satisfy CLE rules imposed on lawyers who seek to change from a restricted to an active status. All of these requirements could be waived in individual cases by the Court. felt very strongly that if examinations are required prior to admiss on it is also in the public interest to ensure that reinstited lawyers who may have been away from the practice of law for months or years also demonstrate their minimum technical competence by successfully completing the bar examination and by complying with appropriate CLE requirements.

Rule 2; would be a new rule delineating the lawyer's duty to cooperate with ethics investigations. The proposed rule is in response to the Court's invitation in In re Cartwright, 282 N.W. 2d 548 (Minn. 1979) to submit such a rule. The proposed rule is similar to the rule cited with apparent approval by the Court in In re Cartwright.

December 27, 1980 Petition

Proposed Rule 26 would impose specific duties upon disciplined or resigned attorneys, including notifying clients, parties and tribunals of the discipline or resignation, and delivering client papers and property. The resigned or disciplined lawyer would be required to maintain records of his compliance with Rule 2; and would be required to file with the Director proof of compliance within fifteen days after the discipline or resignation. Proof of compliance with the rule would be a condition precedent to any petition for reinstatement made by the disbarred, suspended or resigned attorney. The proposed rule is an attempt by the Board to deal with the growing problem of client's rights being prejudiced because a disbarred, suspended or resigned attorney has left practice

without proper notice to them and without delivery of their papers and property. The proposed rule is based on ABA Standard 6.11 of the Standards for Lawyer Discipline and Disability Proceedings.

Proposed Rule 27 would specifically provide for trustee proceelings. Such proceedings would be appropriate in cases of death, disability or disappearance or in failure by a suspended, disbarred or resigned lawyer to comply with Rule 26. The Court has already recognized its inherent power to appoint a trustee in the recent case of In re Peck. The proposed rule follow: ABA Standards 13.1 and 13.2.

I request that the Court adopt all of the proposed amendments and that the Court schedule whatever hearings it deems appropriate to consider them. If you have any questions concerning these proposals, I am of course available at the Court; convenience.

Very truly yours,

Michael J. Hoover

Administrative Director

MJH:rk

cc: Associate Justices:
Douglas K. Amdahl
James C. Otis
Donald Peterson
John E. Simonett
George M. Scott
John J. Todd
Rosalie E. Wahl
Lawrence R. Yetka

cc: John C. McCarthy